Practical Dependent Types: Type-Safe Neural Networks

Justin Le https://blog.jle.im (justin@jle.im)

Lambdaconf 2017, May 27, 2017

Preface

 $\label{eq:solution} Slide \ available \ at \ https://talks.jle.im/lambdaconf-2017/dependent-types/dependent-types.html.$

All code available at https://github.com/mstksg/talks/tree/master/lambdaconf-2017/dependent-types.

Libraries required: (available on Hackage) *hmatrix*, *singletons*, *MonadRandom*. GHC 8.x assumed.

The Big Question

The big question of Haskell: What can types do for us?

The big question of Haskell: What can types do for us?

Dependent types are simply the extension of this question, pushing the power of types further.



Parameterized functions

Each layer receives an input vector, $\mathbf{x} : \mathbb{R}^n$, and produces an output $\mathbf{y} : \mathbb{R}^m$.

Parameterized functions

Each layer receives an input vector, $\mathbf{x} : \mathbb{R}^n$, and produces an output $\mathbf{y} : \mathbb{R}^m$.

They are parameterized by a weight matrix $W : \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ (an $m \times n$ matrix) and a bias vector $\mathbf{b} : \mathbb{R}^m$, and the result is: (for some activation function f)

$$\mathbf{y} = f(W\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b})$$

A neural network would take a vector through many layers.

Networks in Haskell

Networks in Haskell

```
data Network :: Type where
    O    :: !Weights -> Network
    (:~) :: !Weights -> !Network -> Network
infixr 5 :~
```

A network with one input layer, two hidden layers, and one output layer would be:

h1 :~ h2 :~ <mark>0</mark> o

Running them

runLayer :: Weights -> Vector Double -> Vector Double
runLayer (W wB wN) v = wB + wN #> v

Generating them

randomWeights :: MonadRandom m => Int -> Int -> m Weights
randomWeights i o = do
 seed1 :: Int <- getRandom
 seed2 :: Int <- getRandom
 let wB = randomVector seed1 Uniform o * 2 - 1
 wN = uniformSample seed2 o (replicate i (-1, 1))
 return \$ W wB wN</pre>

What if we mixed up the dimensions for randomWeights?

- What if we mixed up the dimensions for randomWeights?
- What if the user mixed up the dimensions for randomWeights?

- What if we mixed up the dimensions for randomWeights?
- What if the user mixed up the dimensions for randomWeights?
- What if layers in the network are incompatible?

- What if we mixed up the dimensions for randomWeights?
- What if the user mixed up the dimensions for randomWeights?
- What if layers in the network are incompatible?
- How does the user know what size vector a network expects?

- What if we mixed up the dimensions for randomWeights?
- What if the user mixed up the dimensions for randomWeights?
- What if layers in the network are incompatible?
- How does the user know what size vector a network expects?
- Is our runLayer and runNet implementation correct?

train :: Double

- -> Network
- -> Network

train rate x0 target = fst . go x0 where

- -- ^ learning rate
- -> Vector Double -- ^ input vector
- -> Vector Double -- ^ target vector
 - -- ^ network to train

Backprop (Outer layer)

go :: Vector Double -- ^ input vector -> Network -- ^ network to train -> (Network, Vector Double) -- handle the output layer go !x (0 w@(W wB wN))= let y = runLayer w x o = logistic y -- the gradient (how much y affects the error -- (logistic' is the derivative of logisti dEdy = logistic' y * (o - target) -- new bias weights and node weights wB' = wB - scale rate dEdywN' = wN - scale rate (dEdy `outer` x) w' = W wB' wN'-- bundle of derivatives for next step dWs = tr wN #> dEdy in (0 w', dWs)

Backprop (Inner layer)

-- handle the inner layers go !x (w@(W wB wN) :~ n) = let v = runLayer w x o = logistic y -- get dWs', bundle of derivatives from rest (n', dWs') = go o n-- the gradient (how much y affects the error dEdy = logistic' y * dWs' -- new bias weights and node weights wB' = wB - scale rate dEdywN' = wN - scale rate (dEdy `outer` x) w' = W wB' wN'-- bundle of derivatives for next step dWs = tr WN # > dEdyin (w' :~ n'. dWs)

Compiler, O Where Art Thou?

Haskell is all about the compiler helping guide you write your code. But how much did the compiler help there?

Compiler, O Where Art Thou?

- Haskell is all about the compiler helping guide you write your code. But how much did the compiler help there?
- How can the "shape" of the matrices guide our programming?

Compiler, O Where Art Thou?

- Haskell is all about the compiler helping guide you write your code. But how much did the compiler help there?
- How can the "shape" of the matrices guide our programming?
- We basically rely on naming conventions to make sure we write our code correctly.

Haskell Red Flags

How many ways can we write the function and have it still typecheck?

Haskell Red Flags

- How many ways can we write the function and have it still typecheck?
- How many of our functions are partial?

```
data Weights i o = W { wBiases :: !(R o)
    , wNodes :: !(L o i)
}
```

An o x i layer

From HMatrix:

- R :: Nat -> Type
- L :: Nat -> Nat -> Type

An R 3 is a 3-vector, an L 4 3 is a 4×3 matrix.

From HMatrix:

R :: Nat -> Type L :: Nat -> Nat -> Type

An R 3 is a 3-vector, an L 4 3 is a 4×3 matrix.

Operations are typed:

KnownNat n lets hmatrix use the n in the type. Typed holes can guide our development, too!

Data Kinds

With -XDataKinds, all values and types are lifted to types and kinds.

Data Kinds

With -XDataKinds, all values and types are lifted to types and kinds.

In addition to the values True, False, and the type Bool, we also have the **type** 'True, 'False, and the **kind** Bool.

In addition to : and [] and the list type, we have ': and '[] and the list kind.

Data Kinds

ghci> :t True Bool ghci> :k 'True Bool ghci> :t [True, False] [Bool] ghci> :k '['True, 'False] [Bool]

data Network :: Nat -> [Nat] -> Nat -> Type where Ο :: !(Weights i o) -> Network i '[] o (:~) :: KnownNat h => !(Weights i h) -> ! (Network h hs o) -> Network i (h ': hs) o infixr 5 :~ h1 :: Weight 10 8 h2 :: Weight 8 5 o :: Weight 5 2 0 o :: Network 5 '[] 2 h2 :~ 0 o :: Network 8 '[5] 2 h1 :~ h2 :~ 0 o :: Network 10 '[8, 5] 2 h2 :~ h1 :~ 0 o -- type error

Running

```
runLayer :: (KnownNat i, KnownNat o)
         => Weights i o
         -> R i
         -> R o
runLayer (W wB wN) v = wB + wN #> v
runNet :: (KnownNat i, KnownNat o)
       => Network i hs o
       -> R. i
       -> R. o
runNet (0 w)  !v = logistic (runLayer w v)
runNet (w :~ n') !v = let v' = logistic (runLayer w v)
                      in runNet n' v'
```

Exactly the same! No loss in expressivity!



Much better! Matrices and vector lengths are guaranteed to line up!

Generating

No need for explicit arguments! User can demand i and o. No reliance on documentation and parameter orders.

Generating

But, for generating nets, we have a problem:

Pattern matching on types

The solution for pattern matching on types: singletons.

```
-- (not the actual impelentation)
```

```
data Sing :: Bool -> Type where
    SFalse :: Sing 'False
    STrue :: Sing 'True
```

```
data Sing :: [k] -> Type where
    SNil :: Sing '[]
    SCons :: Sing x -> Sing xs -> Sing (x ': xs)
```

```
data Sing :: Nat -> Type where
    SNat :: KnownNat n => Sing n
```

Pattern matching on types

```
ghci> :t SFalse
Sing 'False
ghci> :t STrue `SCons` (SFalse `SCons` SNil)
Sing '[True, False]
ghci> :t SNat @1 `SCons` (SNat @2 `SCons` SNil)
Sing '[1, 2]
```

Random networks

Implicit passing

Explicitly passing singletons can be ugly.

Explicitly passing singletons can be ugly.

```
class SingI x where
    sing :: Sing x
```

We can now recover the expressivity of the original function, and gain demand-driven shapes.

Explicitly passing singletons can be ugly.

```
class SingI x where
    sing :: Sing x
```

We can now recover the expressivity of the original function, and gain demand-driven shapes.

```
randomNet :: forall m i hs o. (MonadRandom m, KnownNat i, S
 => m (Network i hs o)
randomNet = randomNet' sing
```

Ready for this?

go :: forall j js. KnownNat j => R i -- ^ input vector -> Network j js o -- ^ network to train -> (Network j js o, R j) -- handle the output layer go !x (O w@(W wB wN)) = let y = runLayer w x o = logistic y -- the gradient (how much y affects the error -- (logistic' is the derivative of logisti dEdy = logistic' y * (o - target) -- new bias weights and node weights wB' = wB - konst rate * dEdywN' = wN - konst rate * (dEdy `outer` x) w' = W wB' wN'-- bundle of derivatives for next step dWs = tr WN # > dEdyin (0 w', dWs)

-- handle the inner layers go !x (w@(₩ wB wN) :~ n) = let y = runLayer w x o = logistic y -- get dWs', bundle of derivatives from rest (n', dWs') = go o n-- the gradient (how much y affects the error dEdy = logistic' y * dWs' -- new bias weights and node weights wB' = wB - konst rate * dEdywN' = wN - konst rate * (dEdy `outer` x) w' = W wB' wN'-- bundle of derivatives for next step dWs = tr WN # > dEdyin (w' :~ n'. dWs)

Surprise! It's actually identical! No loss in expressivity. Typed holes can write our code for us in many cases. And shapes are all verified.

Type-Driven Development

We wrote an untyped implementation, then realized what was wrong. Then we added types, and everything is great!

Further reading

- Blog series: https://blog.jle.im/entries/series/+practicaldependent-types-in-haskell.html
- Extra resources:
 - https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=rhWMhTjQzsU
 - http://www.well-typed.com/blog/2015/11/implementing-aminimal-version-of-haskell-servant/
 - https://www.schoolofhaskell.com/user/konn/prove-yourhaskell-for-great-safety
 - http://jozefg.bitbucket.org/posts/2014-08-25-dep-types-part-1.html